

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,692	03/29/2004	Ricci L. Marzolf	00917P0182US	1347
32116 75	590 08/04/2006		EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO	
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/811,692	MARZOLF ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma	av 2006.					
·		action is non-final.					
3)	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-35 and 38-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,41 and 42</u> is/are allowed.						
· —	☑ Claim(s) <u>14-35 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/24/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2 2 2 3.2 2.3.2.2.2.2 Colonica Chica delich for a fict of the continue copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	e	-152\					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/811,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 14-35,38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is still unclear from the drawings and specification what is meant by the "third state" of the first actuating assembly in the recitation of claim 14, where in the last two lines, the claim recites that the first actuating assembly is changeable into a third state to thereby change the blocking assembly into its first state. This appears to contradict the specification page 32, lines 8-9,12-14 which states that the blocking assembly is independent of the first actuating assembly.

Furthermore, the amendments to page 22 of the specification and the corresponding new recitation in claims 34,35 (and its dependent claims 38,39) are not understood. Specifically, how exactly is the first actuating assembly operably connected to the other components without being secured together, merely by translational movement "along the line L", as discussed on page 22 and claims 34,35?

Application/Control Number: 10/811,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-11,13,40-42 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art are persuasive, ultimately the application is not in condition for allowance since issues remain under 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph as set forth above. Applicant's amendments to the specification and claims 34,35 present new issues under 35 USC 112 as discussed. Furthermore, contrary to Applicant's arguments, the "third state" of claim 14 is not clearly understood as further expounded above. It is not merely the disclosure of a third state (it is agreed that this is recited on pages 31-32), but rather the substantive and contradictory disclosure with respect to the blocking assembly, which renders claim 14 unclear and not understood. Accordingly, claims 14-35,38,39 stand rejected.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,692 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,692

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 5

sdb